

REMARKS

1 Plain radiograph

- **1.1** Regional radiographs should be the initial examination to determine whether there is any underlying pathological condition.
- 1.2 Typical findings of bone destruction and periosteal reaction may not appear until 10-21 days after the onset of infection because 30-50% of bone density loss must occur before radiographs become abnormal.
- 1.3 Plain radiographs are unreliable to establish the diagnosis of osteomyelitis in patients with violated bone.
- 1.4 Plain radiographs of spine are not sensitive to detect vertebral osteomyelitis but findings of endplate destruction and progressive narrowing of adjacent disc space are highly suggestive of infection.

2 Nuclear medicine

- 2.1 Scans should be interpreted with contemporary radiographs.
- 2.2 Three-phase Technetium-99m methylene diphosphonate (Tc-99m-MDP) bone scan
 - 2.2.1 Bone scan is more sensitive than plain radiography (up to 90% sensitivity).
 - 2.2.2 Bone scan can be positive as early as 3 days after onset of disease (10-14 days earlier than plain radiograph).
- 2.3 Gallium scan
 - 2.3.1 Gallium scan is helpful as conjunction with a bone scan. Combined gallium and bone scan studies has sensitivity of 81-90% and specificity of 69-100%
- 2.4 White blood cells (WBC) scan
 - 2.4.1 This is sensitive and specific for bone infection and particularly useful in violated bone.
- 2.5 Flurodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET
 - 2.5.1 It has high accuracy (up to 96%) for confirming or excluding chronic osteomyelitis.
 - 2.5.2 It may be an alternative to MRI if suspecting chronic osteomyelitis.

3 CT

- 3.1 CT is useful to accurately define sequestra, soft tissue abscesses and bone destruction, and to guide biopsy.
- 3.2 Sequestra, cortical destruction, periosteal reaction and intraosseous gas undetected on MRI can be well seen on CT.

4 MRI

- 4.1 MRI is highly effective for detection of bone marrow edema in spine and long bones.
- 4.2 MRI can reveal the relationship between an infective process in spine, the adjacent spinal canal and soft tissue.
- 4.3 Contrast MRI is sensitive but should be correlated with other imaging studies.

REFERENCES

- The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7th ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M07.
- Beaman FD, Von Herrmann PF, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 2.
- Termaat MF, Raijmakers PG, Scholten HJ, Bakker FC, Patka P, Haarman HJ. The accuracy of diagnostic imaging for the assessment of chronic osteomyelitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005; 87: 2464-2471.
- 4. Sella EJ. Current concepts review: diagnostic imaging of the diabetic foot. Foot Ankle Int. 2009; 30: 568-576.
- 5. Schauwecker DS. The scintigraphic diagnosis of osteomyelitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1992; 158: 9-18.
- Modic M T, Feiglin DH, Piraino DW, Boumphrey F, Weinstein MA, Duchesneau PM, et al. Vertebral osteomyelitis: assessment using MR. Radiology. 1985; 157: 157-166.
- Jamar F, Buscombe J, Chiti A, Christian PE, Delbeke D, Donohoe KJ, et al. EANM/SNMMI guideline for 18F-FDG use in inflammation and infection. J Nucl Med. 2013; 54: 647-658.