

# **REMARKS**

### 1 General

- 1.1 Definition of pyrexia of unknown origin<sup>1</sup>
  - 1.1.1 Pyrexia of unknown origin was first defined as an illness of greater than 3 weeks' duration with a temperature higher than 101°F (38.3°C) on several occasions and an uncertain diagnosis after 1 week of study in the hospital.
  - 1.1.2 The requirement of 1 week in-patient evaluation has been recently modified and only evaluation of 3 out-patient visits or 3 days of in-hospital evaluation are stated in some articles.<sup>2-4</sup>
- 1.2 Classifications based on patient's subtypes include: classic, nosocomial, immune deficient and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) associated, which may require different investigations pathway.<sup>2,3</sup>
  - 1.2.1 The classic pyrexia of unknown origin excludes patients with known immunodeficiency or HIV infection.<sup>2</sup>
- 1.3 Causes of pyrexia of unknown origin<sup>3-5</sup>
  - 1.3.1 Infectious, oncologic, inflammatory and miscellaneous/unknown are the four main categories.
  - 1.3.2 There is an increasing trend of pyrexia of unknown origin in which the cause remains unknown. 4,6,7
  - 1.3.3 Infection is the most common cause. However, many factors may affect the implementation of study results to clinical practice due to different geographic locations, different subgroups of patients and different types of institutions.<sup>3</sup>

# 2 Radiography

- 2.1 Some articles have listed out the minimum diagnostic evaluation to qualify as pyrexia of unknown origin. Chest X-ray (CXR) is among one of the first investigations. <sup>6,8</sup>
- 3 The decision to obtain any further diagnostic studies should be based on abnormalities found in the initial laboratory work-up.<sup>3</sup> Further diagnostic studies should be performed after discussion with radiologists/nuclear medicine physicians.

#### **4** CT

- 4.1 For further diagnostic workup, CT of the abdomen should be one of the first investigations since it has a high diagnostic yield, with reported yield rate being 19%.<sup>6.9</sup>
- 4.2 No definite evidence to support CT thorax for evaluation of pyrexia of unknown origin. Consideration of the investigation should be based on patient's clinical history, physical examination, laboratory test and initial chest radiographic findings.

# 5 Nuclear Medicine

- 5.1 Gallium scan and white blood cell (WBC) scan
  - 5.1.1 Conventional scintigraphic methods are Ga-67 citrate scintigraphy, In-111 labeled or Tc-99m labeled WBC scintigraphy. These techniques have their disadvantages and limitations, such as handling of potentially infected blood products (labeled WBC scintigraphy), and the relatively long time span between injection and diagnosis.<sup>14</sup>

#### **5.2** F-18 FDG PET-CT

- 5.2.1 Compared with conventional scintigraphy, advantages of FDG PET-CT include higher resolution, higher sensitivity in chronic low-grade infections, higher accuracy in the central skeleton, as well as shorter time period between injection of the radiopharmaceutical and the imaging procedure. Furthermore, FDG shows an increased vascular uptake in patients with vasculitis.<sup>14</sup>
- 5.2.2 Beside, FDG is accumulated in various types of malignancy, which can be a cause of pyrexia of unknown origin.

# 6 US

6.1 Venous thrombosis is a cause of prolonged fever. Studies revealed that it is a cause of pyrexia of unknown origin in 2-6%. Although deep vein thrombosis (DVT) accounts for a small percentage of pyrexia of unknown origin, Doppler US is a safe method to identify the treatable cause.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Petersdorf RG, Beeson PB. Fever of unexplained origin: report on 100 cases. Medicine. 1961; 40: 1-30.
- Durack DT, Street AC. Fever of unknown origin—reexamined and redefined. Curr Clin Top Infect Dis. 1991; 11: 35-51.
- Roth AR, Basello GM. Approach to the adult patient with fever of unknown origin. Am Fam Physician. 2003; 68: 2223-2228.
- Naito T, Mizooka M, Mitsumoto F, Kanazawa K, Torikai K, Ohno S, et al. Diagnostic workup for fever of unknown origin: a multicenter collaborative retrospective study. BMJ Open. 2013; 3(12): e003971.
- 5. Qiu L, Chen Y. The role of 18F-FDG PET or PET/CT in the detection of fever of unknown origin. Eur J Radiol. 2012; 81: 3524-3529.
- Mourad O, Palda V, Detsky AS. A comprehensive evidence-based approach to fever of unknown origin. Arch Intern Med. 2003; 163: 545-551.
- 7. Horowitz HW. Fever of unknown origin or fever of too many origins? N Eng J Med. 2013; 368: 197-199.
- 8. Arnow PM, Flaherty JP. Fever of unknown origin. Lancet. 1997; 350: 575-580.
- Quinn MJ, Sheedy PF, Stephens DH, Haltery RR. Computed tomography of the abdomen in evaluation of patients with fever of unknown origin. Radiology. 1980; 136: 407-411.
- Dong MJ, Zhao K, Liu ZF, Wan GL, Yang SY, Zhou GJ. A meta-analysis of the value of fluoro-deoxyglucose-PET/ CT in the evaluation of fever of unknown origin. Eur J Radiol. 2011; 80: 834-844.
- Kouijzer IJE, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Oyen WJG. FDG-PET in fever of unknown origin. Semin Nucl Med. 2013; 43: 333-339.
- 12. Bleeker-Rovers CP, van der Meer JWM, Oyen WJG. Fever of unknown origin. Semin Nucl Med. 2009; 39: 81-87.
- 13. AbuRahma AF, Saiedy S, Robinson PA, Boland JP, Cottrell DJ, Stuart C. Role of venous duplex imaging of the lower extremities in patients with fever of unknown origin. Surgery. 1997; 121: 366-371.
- Kouijzer, IJ, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Oyen WJ. FDG-PET in fever of unknown origin. Semin Nucl Med. 2013; 43: 333-339.
- Jamar F, Buscombe J, Chit A, Christian PE, Delbeke D, Donohoe KJ, et al. EANM/SNMMI guideline for 18F-FDG use in inflammation and infection. J Nucl Med. 2013; 54: 647-658.